

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.iispio.gt

1/17/2002			CONFIRMATION NO.
1/1/2002	Hegeon Kwun	090936.0445	
01/10/2003			
.P.	EXAMINER		
	FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB		
2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	01/10/2003 .P. 2980	P.	P. EXAMI FAYYAZ, NASH

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary.

Application No. 10/051,898 Applicant(s)

Kwun et al

Examiner

Nashmiya Fayyaz

Art Unit 2856



	The MAILING	DATE of this communi	cation appears	on the cover si	heet with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the								
 If the p If NO p Failure Any re 	eriod for reply is specifi to reply within the set only to received by the Office	above is less than thirty (30) of	ory period will apply a , by statute, cause th	and will expire SIX (6 ne application to bec) MONTHS frome ABANDO)) days will be considered timely. om the meiling date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). filed, may reduce any		
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to o					·		
2a) 🗌	This action is F	is FINAL. 2b) X This action is non-final.						
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	ion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>					is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above	, claim(s)		· t		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.		
						is/are rejected.		
						is/are objected to.		
						to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers							
9) 🗆		n is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
10)□	The drawing(s)	filed on	is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)[objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may	not request that any ol	ojection to the c	Irawing(s) be h	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)□						pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, co	rrected drawings are re	equired in reply	to this Office a	ction.			
12)	The oath or dec	claration is objected t	o by the Exam	iner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C	. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[] All b)□ So	nme * c) \square None of	:					
	1. Certified o	copies of the priority	documents hav	re been receiv	ed.			
	2. Certified o	copies of the priority	documents hav	re been receiv	ed in App	lication No		
	ар	plication from the Int	ernational Bure	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage		
		detailed Office action						
		ent is made of a clair						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
		rent is made of a cian	n for domestic	priority under	30 0.5.	6. 33 120 dila/or 1211		
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cite	J (PTO-892)		4) Thterview S	Summary (PTC	D-413) Paper No(s)		
		Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	_		t Application (PTO-152)		
3) 💢 In	ormation Disclosure Sta	itement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)2	6) Cother:				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,898

Art Unit: 2856

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public

use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anway-

U.S. Patent # 4,083,229.

As to claims 1-, Anway discloses an apparatus for detecting and locating a fluid leak in a pipe in

which vibration produced by the leak is detected by a pair of microphones/ transducers 22 and 24

and is converted to electrical signals which are correlated by variably time-delaying one signal

relative to the other to determine if they are the same or not to determine the location of the leak

defect, see Abstract and col.2, lines 30-60. Further, polarity detector 42/52 passes only signals

above 0, i.e. positive signals. As to claims 6-9 as best understood note that delay. Lines 54 and

54a which operate as gates as described in col. 7, lines 48 et seq and illustrated in Figs. 3-4.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,898

Art Unit: 2856

4. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anway in view of Williams et al - U.S.2001/0045 129.

As to claims 4-5, Anway fails to teach conversion of the data to the frequency domain. In a related prior art device, Williams et al teach an apparatus for determining the position of a leak signal in a pipe by also employing two sensors and correlating the detected signals, see Abstract. Further, Williams et al teach that the signals can be detected employing either the time or the frequency domains, note page 1, passages 9 and 10: Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of intention to have employed the frequency domain for the data analysis as it is clearly taught by Williams et al as a matter of design choice of known alternatives.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, on line 9, it is unclear what "data" is being referred to. On line 12, "the signals" and on line 13 "the probes" lack clear antecedent basis. Note only 2 probe "positions" are claimed, not 2 probes. On line 15, "both data sets" is unclear. In claims 2-9, "the data" lacks clear antecedent basis. In claim 6, it is unclear what "data values" are incremented or how. Also, it is not understood what "each gate length" means. In claim 7, which "two signals" are being

Art Unit: 2856

referred to? In claim 8, what do "peak signal values" and "each gate" refer to? In claim 9, again what does "each gate" refer to?

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N. Fayyaz at telephone number (703) 305-4891.

Fayyaz/ek

01/07/02

DANIEL S. LARKIN BRIMARY EXAMINER